

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ç			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,745	02/11/2002	Peter G. Schultz	220032001301	2759
,	7590 07/30/2002		and the state of t	
Madeline I Johnston Morrison & Foerster LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018			EXAMINER	
			BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002 3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/074,745

Applica...(s

Schultz et al

Examiner

Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph. D.

Art Unit 1627



 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears 	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
P riod for R ply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>ONE</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the s If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 11, 20</u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.				
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expan					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>94-162</u>	is/are pending in the applica				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims _94-162	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e aŊ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to th	is Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	een received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	een received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional a 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri 	• •				
·	only under 33 0.5.6. gg 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Serial Number: 10/074, 5

Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Preliminary Amendment filed February 11, 2002 is acknowledged. Claims 1-93 were cancelled and claims 94-162 were added. Thus, claims 94-162 are currently pending.

2. This case is a continuation of application serial no. 09/127,195. The following species election requirement mirrors that in the parent case, with minor changes for clarity and/or based on the claims as presented in the instant case (vs. those in the parent).

Election/Restriction

- 3. Claims 94-162 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Election of a single species from *each* of the groups set forth below is required.
- (A) Substrate attachment and type of substrate
 - i) polymers attached to substrate
 - ii) polymers unattached (but present)

Furthermore, a specific type of substrate should be elected (see e.g. claim 158)

(B) Polymer array prepared (e.g. claims 95-97, 107-111, 122, 123, 125, 126, 128, 129, 145-149)

Applicant should elect, for purposes of search, a <u>specific</u> species of polymer array prepared by specifying its chemical composition (the election should result in a specific species of array that has all components fully (not subgenerically) defined). The number of materials in the array should also be elected.

Serial Number: 10/074

Art Unit: 1627

(C) Delivery of reagents in each group (e.g. first second, etc.)

Applicant should elect whether reagents in each are delivered simultaneously or non-simultaneously and specifically how they are delivered (gradient of stoichiometries, etc.)

(D) Polymerization control and timing
Applicant should elect whether control of polymerization is independent for each region (or not) and whether the process in each region is to be conducted simultaneously (or not)

- (E) Delivery means
 - i) pipette; e.g. claim 103
 - ii) ink jet; e.g. claim 104
- (F) Screening (e.g. claims 112, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143)
 - i) for a thermal property; e.g. claims 118, 154
 - ii) for a mechanical property; e.g. claims 119, 155
 - iii) for a morphological property; e.g. claims 120, 156
 - iv) for a chemical property; e.g. claims 115, 151
 - v) for a optical property; e.g. claims 113, 114, 150
 - vi) for a magnetic property
 - vii) for a electrical property
- (G) Method of screening
 - i) parallel; e.g. claims 116, 152
 - ii) sequential; e.g. claims 117, 153
- (H) Further pressurization (e.g. claims 159, 162)

 Applicant should elect whether the array is further pressurized with a gas during polymerization (or not)
- 4. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made and/or in their specific steps and elements needed for carrying them out. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

Serial Number: 10/07 5 Page 4

Art Unit: 1627

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a <u>listing of all claims</u> <u>readable thereon</u>, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Serial Number: 10/074,

Art Unit: 1627

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 to 7:00 and alternate Fridays.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

Serial Number: 10/074

Art Unit: 1627

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. July 29, 2002

MAURIE GARCIA BAKER, Ph.D. PATENT EXAMINER